

S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.3312/2006

Date : 03.08.2006

HON'BLE MR.SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Suresh Kumbhat for the applicant.

Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the prosecutrix has not named the present applicant in her statement recorded under Section 164 CP.C. and the applicant was not responsible for the incident. He further submits that co-accused has already been enlarged on bail by this Court in S.B. Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.2986/2006 vide order dated 17.07.2006 and the case of the present applicant is on better footings, then, the co-accused who has already been granted bail, therefore, the applicant may also be enlarged on

bail.

On the other hand, learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail application.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused applicant on bail.

Accordingly, the bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that the applicant Magha Ram son of Kanna Ramji be released on bail in CR No.58/2006 P.S. Bagri, District Pali, provided he executes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant